In my 25 years of being associated with Applied Kinesiology, my 33 years of being a chiropractor, and my 56 years of living my life from a naturopathic, wholistic view of health, I have learned some things about the differences between myself and my beliefs and those of the more orthodox beliefs about disease. What I am writing for your consideration are my beliefs and what I believe to be truth. I hope that these understandings will enable you to look at your purpose in being associated with the changing paradigm of health enhancement. Let's first look at what I believe to be the beliefs of the majority of people and their understanding of how health occurs.

Characteristics of the Biomedical model of Modern Scientific Medicine:

1. Based on the germ theory that most diseases are caused by an invasion from the outside by unfriendly bacteria, fungi, or viruses.

2. These germs, etc., give relatively standard illness symptoms and syndromes and therefore specific diagnosis must be made before any treatment can be given.

3. The specific intervention by the biomedical model practitioners is highly focused, on eliminating the "cause" of the specific symptoms and syndromes which are caused by highly specific identifiable microscopic living particles (viruses, bacteria, fungi, malfunctioning genes, or malfunctioned genes, etc.).

4. The biomedical training is highly focused on technique in combating the specific disease-causing germs, etc. The one cause can be found as a physical, physiological problem. A specific treatment can then correct the problem, and the symptoms or syndromes related to that physical, physiological cause can be eliminated. Usually this is done without consideration of any mind, soul, spiritual or emotional considerations.

5. The biomedical model assumes in all of its presuppositions that there is only one correct authentic medical model, and all others are more or less false, depending on how closely they approximate the biomedical beliefs.

6. The biomedical model is based primarily on the materialistic Newtonian physics model of the universe.

When adherents to the Biomedical model are confronted with healings from other methods which do not adhere to the principles above, the usual explanations include:

- spontaneous remission (it would have happened anyway)
- the placebo effect, a begrudgingly acknowledged improvement because the mind of the person desires it to happen
- it really didn't happen because the person didn't have anything wrong (misdiagnosis or nonacceptable person making the diagnosis)
- the healing was accomplished through trickery and sleight of hand, where the person apparently feeling better was duped into thinking that they had an illness and then had a pseudo cure.

When asked to investigate how these healings have occurred in the people who had biomedically diagnosed illnesses but used other models of health care, the "tomato effect" comes into play,

Why would I try what I already know won't work? Why would I waste my time trying it?

The biomedical model in our post modern times is being challenged by more than two-
thirds of the people who say that they believe in God and by the statements of many that 80-85% of all illnesses will heal spontaneously given a bare minimum of care, regardless of the healing system employed.

The Medical profession itself is issuing many challenges to the biomedical model concepts as illustrated by what Robert O. Becker, M.D., says in his book, *The Body Electric*, "The healer's job has always been to release something not understood, to remove obstructions (demons, germs, despair) between the sick patient and the force of life driving obscurely toward wholeness." And Richard Moss, M.D., who said in *Healers on Healing*, "... I know that healing is far more than a return to a former condition. True healing means drawing the circle of our being larger and becoming more inclusive, more capable of loving. In this sense, healing is not for the sick alone but for all mankind."

This is what we are attempting to have people understand about healing with the Touch for Health synthesis of today.

In their book, *New Story of Science*, Robert Augros, Ph.D., and George Stanciu, Ph.D., clearly state in the Chapter titled "God" that the Old Story of Science came to eliminate God and developed scientific materialism. Because of the science of materialism, much of the many discoveries that led to our modern world's industrialization were based on the ideas of great men of history who did not reject God, but for the purposes of developing what was then a new science (but is now the old science). They left out part of the truth of how things really are for their discussions of the laws of matter. These scientists were seeking the truth. For a better understanding of truth they eliminated for the purposes of study the idea of God and adopted the idea that everything would act like a machine.

Scientist and biologist, Thomas Huxley, in 1869 coined the word agnostic, which means without God, holding a belief that does not believe in God nor reject a belief in God, a kind of a wait and see belief. Carl F. Gauss, the greatest mathematician of the nineteenth century, reflects the doubt, "There are problems to whose solution I would attach infinitely greater importance than to those of mathematics, for example, touching ethics, or our relation to God, or concerning our destiny and our future; but their solution lies wholly beyond us and completely outside the province of science." In this he was talking about the seeking of material truth, for science is seeking the truth. Other great scientists of the day then built on these reasonings and, having agreed with the division of matter being separate from God, relegated God to religion. For them the purpose for existence had no part of the science of materialism.

Freud represents a typical Old Story of Science attitude toward religion. "The religions of mankind," he states, "must be classed among the mass delusions ..." He explains that in religion man seeks an escape from reality. "Religious ideas," Freud continues, "have arisen from ... the necessity of defending oneself against the crushingly superior force of nature." He believes that man creates a Father God rather than the reverse.

The New Science conclusively argues for a beginning. With a beginning and a purpose there is not only room for God, but God, mind, intelligence must exist for any plan to have been made. A principle, called the ANTHROPIC PRINCIPLE, states in effect that what we can expect to observe must be restricted by the conditions necessary for our presence as observers. Thus man's position in the universe may not be central, but certainly is privileged to some extent.

Physicist Freeman Dyson says, "The more I examine the universe the more evidence I find that the universe in some sense must have known we were coming." The conditions necessary for man were created prior to man being created, with a plan for man to be there to observe them and become like the Mind that created him. Although man is not at the physical center of the universe, he appears to be at the center of its purpose.

One of the greatest scientists of the new physics and the New Story of Science is Heisenberg. He describes the Old Story's methodology, "The mechanics of Newton, and all the other parts of classical physics constructed after its model, started the world without speaking about God or ourselves," a
universe without mind. But the New Story indicates the opposite on both counts. The Big Bang Theory and the Anthropic Principle point to minds at both ends of the universe. The New Story of Science not only affirms the primacy of mind in the universe, but also affirms that beauty is part of the way the world is put together. This fresh perspective on beauty also leads to evidence for God.

Science is proving what we know from personal experience. We know that, once a gift from God is revealed, it is not taken away and, even though misused, it is a truth that will from then on be known and passed on to other generations and that we will be building on the revelations of scientists to be able to know and love God as our purpose. Since we were built by God in his own likeness, therefore as we study man we are learning about the beauty of God in His creation. What I have been doing all of my adult life has been learning about God by the study of His creature man, whom he made in His likeness. I have been sharing my understanding of how God made us to help ourselves and others to wholeness and healing.

The Old Story gradually rejected the common experience of our senses. The Old Story science began to tell layman and scientist alike what is real and what is not, where to look for answers and what to expect, and how to interpret the results. The Old Story materialism in this way gradually usurped the office of common experience itself. Methodological materialism began to appear to be the only truth, because it led to so many discoveries in natural science and suggested a cure for all the ills of mankind, even to the extent that it invades our entire culture. Materialistic science is thought to be able to solve all problems given enough time and money for research into the way that things "really are." The cultural influences of the past two hundred years of scientific materialism have set up assumptions about the body, mind, spirit, and emotions that divide these into individual parts of reality, rather than seeing the truth that they are all one and can only be divided artificially for the purpose of study. As a result, the questions we ask, the facts we seek, the interpretations we give to the facts are all based on false assumptions. We then have conclusions that we draw that lead us into factifuging syndromes of enormous proportions. It is most difficult for human beings to admit that what they have been teaching is false, even when confronted by what their own senses indicate. They have so long been relying on their science to tell them what is true that they cannot let go of their old beliefs. These are what they were told by authorities was the way things really are, even when their common experience tells them the opposite.

We in Touch for Health are rejecting the false parts of the Old Story and placing the common experience of our senses back into an arena of hope and health enhancement, which is in line with the New Story of Science.

Because of its rejection of common experience, the Old Story could be overcome by one authority alone, specialized scientific experience, the source of the New Story. The New Story of science, while affirming the autonomy and primacy of mind, is nowhere founded on a plea that such a view offers more "consolation" than materialism. If the Old Story were true, we would have to learn to live with it, no matter how nihilistic or discomfiting. The Old Story has been found wanting because the recent findings of relativity, quantum physics, neuroscience, and astrophysics cannot be fit into such a scheme. Eugene Wigner insists that in 20th-century physics "the very study of the external world led to the conclusion that the content of the consciousness is an ultimate reality." The Old Story must be replaced then, not because it offers us no consolation, but because it is false. Materialism, having received the fullest possible trial in all branches of science, has simply failed the test of experience.

We in the Touch for Health movement do not reject anything that is true, but we do reject all falsity and legerdermain. When we realize that people want to take the ideas of muscle testing and energy changes and make money from them, we know that they can fall prey to wanting to make their methodology the only way and wanting to put down other methods. This alone would make us wonder about the truth of the advocate, not of the method being presented. We want to be able to distinguish between the idea and the
advocate. If an idea is truth then we can accept it. However, it must be subject to additional evidence. We must have sufficient trials to know the truth of how different types of touch affect individual persons. We have all seen the result of drug and surgical treatments, once believed to be wonderful in helping people, turn into terrible tragedies for many people. We must remember that there is no such thing as an impartial observer in the treatment of human beings. We know that intention has an effect when we touch each other. The context in which the treatment is given affects the results. The belief of the patient affects the outcome, as does the environment in which the treatment is given, the history of the patient, the genetic structure of the person, the memory, and the emotions.

The New Science affirms the return to theism and God in our world culture and reaffirmation of the spiritual side of man's nature.

God has given us an innate capacity to heal ourselves if all of our aspects, including the physiological, are brought into proper alignment or harmony with His purpose.

In order for those of us who have faith in God and lack total faith in the biomedical model to be Christian, we must love those who have total faith in the biomedical model and little or no faith in God and have great difficulty suspending judgment to investigate myths of healing. Loving those that disagree with your beliefs is difficult, but it is what God has called us to do. It is the only way that we can bring them to know the truth, which will free them from the bondage (into which their beliefs has put them.)

- **The myth of the biomedical model** is the presumed causal link between the treatments given and the cure of disease.

- **The myth of Touch for Health** is the presumed link with the balance of energy that is shown by muscle facilitation and body balance and the relief of suffering on the part of the person being balanced as well as the enhanced performance in daily endeavors, as perceived by the person.

- **The myth of the faith healer** is the presumed causal link between the laying on of hands and God's cure of disease.

Myth, as I use it above, is the story that each group uses to explain the healings that occur through their methods. And in each case healing does occur, there can be no doubt about it. We have thousands of personal testimonies of people being healed by all of the healing methods.

In each case faith by the person using the method is necessary, and if the person being healed possesses faith in the person and the method, it also helps. Healing, all healing, comes from God in His mysterious plan. I use the concepts of Rev. Bill Thomas of Tulsa, Oklahoma, who classifies healing into three main categories:

1. **Natural healing** is that which occurs all the time for all kinds of ailments and injuries. It is estimated that 85% of all healing falls into this category. This is where people get well with or without the help of another just because it happens. It is like cutting your finger and knowing it will heal, or getting a cold and knowing that in about a week you'll be better.

2. **Cooperative healing** occurs where people who have studied the way God has made us as humans and how we function in our internal and external environments intervene to help other injured or ill people.

3. **Divine healing** occurs when the injured or ill person has doubt that they will get better, and the health professionals do not believe that they will get better even with their best interventions, then God intervenes, and the person is healed by a seeming interruption of natural and scientific laws.

**FAITH**

Experience has shown by scientific observations that faith does set into motion a cognitive process, which under the right emotional conditions, can directly stimulate the human nervous system. The nervous system controls all the other systems of the body through its communications with them;
thus anything that affects the nervous system affects all other systems. The meridian system has definite effects on the nervous system and through it on all the other systems. Faith sets into motion the same process that medical drugs do, a process that will allow the healing to begin. It is also known that negative emotions can reduce the natural healing process that God has placed in each one of us. Just as hope and faith will assist the healing process, helplessness and hopelessness will reduce the healing process.

BELIEF IN GOD - CLASSIFICATIONS

Modern scientific biomedical model medicine can be said to have begun with the Newtonian physics model of the world and universe. The scientists (medical and otherwise), as they began to rely on this Newtonian physics model as "the only truth", also began to question the religious beliefs of the times. The ties between religion and healing were jeopardized, tested, spurned, and broken until much of the biomedical model community has come to deny to some degree that God has anything to do with healing. These biomedical model adherents became the medical materialists. Those that did not completely throw God out of their lives relegated Him to other things than Healing. Marty Martin in volume 7 of Second Opinion classified religious beliefs related to healing and disease expectations into 4 clusters:

Autogenesis,
Synergism,
Empathy, and
Monergism.

These may overlap, and many people can be in more than one classification at one time. It is helpful in understanding the new physics and the old physics to recognize how beliefs are different in this respect also.

These groupings classify people's beliefs in answer to the question, "What precisely do people have in mind when they express the hope or make the claim that their faith has something to do with the understandings of illness and health and the processes of healing?" These then are the people that believe that their faith helps their healings in some way.

1. The Autogenesis group are people who have as their religion a belief in themselves as the prime cause of their disease or health. Their slogan is, "I am the master of my fate". They are the purely naturalistic and humanistic believers that subscribe to no God or Gods and no outside superhuman or supra-human force that acts upon a person. This group of people tend to be articulate and can have a great understanding of what they can do and what they actually do and how that causes them to be healthy or sick. They may not know it, but their god is the mythical figure Prometheus, who stole fire from the gods and generated humanism.

This group recognizes the interaction between mind and body. They understand the way that their emotions have an effect on them and others. They know about exercise and diet. Because these people are often at the forefront of new materialistic truths, they will often unintentionally keep others from trying them. The person that believes in God often feels that the humanists, the autogenic believers, because they do not believe in God, cannot be using anything of God. If they are using some different methods, a true believer in God would not want to be associated with these methods.

2. The slogan of the Synergism believer is "I am in tune with the infinite". This group believes that they can be in cooperation with superhuman activity. They do not have a personal God, nor do they believe that is possible. These people are greater in number than the autogenists, probably representing 30 percent of the population. These people recognize a nature god or a god that needs no revelation in scripture or a particular history. This power, force or energy is simply available in the structure of things.

They view the universe as a basically friendly and supportive place. They believe that the goal of life is to get into harmony and resonance with the vibrations of the universe and to enjoy
one another. This can be the first step in
the journey to truth for a "scientific
medicine biomedical model practitioner"
as they enter into some "holistic"
practices to supplement the biomedical
model. The partisans of this group do
not have conflict with the biomedical
model and see "scientific" medicine as
part of the work of the natural force, the
"work of the universe." The practices
that they use may lessen the need for
drugs and surgery.

The medical materialists would attribute
any of the beneficial results that these
adherents claim for themselves as
resulting from "being in tune with the
universe" to the practices themselves,
such as doing exercises, avoiding
nicotine, caffeine, and alcohol etc. The
biomedical model adherents will adopt
the practices but not the metaphysical
reasons for their use. For example, in
the treatment of cancer they may suggest
the use of visualization to seek the cancer
cells being eaten or destroyed by
stronger white blood cells in addition to
the standard biomedical model practices,
such as undergoing surgery, radiation,
or chemotherapy. When a healing
miracle occurs with this group, they
attribute it to the ability to work with the
forces or energy of the universe.

3. The Empathy believers use the slogan,
"God experiences with me". They be­
lieve that God has empathy for them. He
understands their suffering. This is a
large group of human beings. It includes
millions of believers in Judaism, Islam,
Catholicism, Orthodoxy, and Protest­
antism, and many other people who
worship a God and pray to this God.
These people connect their God with
nature and the physical conditions of
humans. Often they resist "miraculous
healing" as a suspension of the "laws of
nature". Many of these believers have
faith that God can do anything, but in
this age He does not do miracles because
there is no need for miracles to make
people believe. These people uphold the
historical miracles, but not ones of
today. In these communities of believers
God can be known through the
revelation regarded by the believing
community as authentic, as in the
Hebrew Scriptures, the New Testament,
and the Koran. They do not see a cure or
a lack of cure as a sign from God of His
pleasure or displeasure. They see God as
the great Architect or Engineer that put
the whole universe together with a great
plan where God is the "Principle of
Organization." Thus "scientific
medicine" is possible.

These believers differ radically from the
godless autogentist and the full-force
synergetic nature god. This group
believes that God is biblical and will
return to persuade and cajole people to
love Him as He loves and cares for
them. This is the God who derives
whatever value is possible from human
sufferings. This is seen in theism and
many other popular mainline religions of
today, including the vast majority of
religious people in the western world.
These people have overcome the
antipathy between the church and
modern biomedical model medicine,
including materialistic approaches to the
chiropractic, osteopathic, homeopathic,
and holistic models. They encourage
biomedical medicine and its materialistic
concepts, build hospitals and welcome
"scientific" advances in biomedicine and
other health professions that are licensed
by the governments where they live.
They commend themselves and their
followers to a God whose love and
caring is stronger than death, God that
shares their suffering and knows them as
individuals. They would be the first to
say that rationalistic empirical methods
cannot touch or measure the divine point
of reference, the God who shares
empathy with humans.

The biomedical community which is
supported by the empathetic believers
often dismisses totally or give very little
credit for their faith in any healing that
occurs. The alternative health profession­
als, on the other hand, usually give
credit to God, because a large majority
of their beliefs are based on a non­
materialistic understanding of healing.

4. Monergism is the fourth broad cate­
gory. The slogan of this group is "God
worked a miracle in me." This term comes from a theological controversy in early Protestantism, and is the most extreme view. The theological synergist allows himself a role in cooperation with God in Healing. The monergist allows no integral role for human cooperation in "rebirth" in becoming right with God. In this extreme position all power comes from God. These are the flamboyant "faith healers" and others who believe that God created everything and that He can and will today interrupt the laws He created in the regularity of the universe to effect miracles when it pleases His mysterious ways. In this group of believers, faith and fact simply match. The fixed center of all monergistic systems is that, whatever else happens through the use of medicine and other agencies of human care, God's response to disciplines and prayer for healing can be a direct, miraculous, and personal intervention in the act of healing. This includes organic changes which cannot be explained except by divine intervention.

Divine healing is the direct intervention of the one and only true God, as living and personal today as in history.

Some in this group of people see it as faithless to find it difficult to accept supernatural intervention, especially physical and inner (emotional) healings. This group reserves to the mysterious workings of God the failure of healing to take place. This faith challenges the isolated scientific materialistic biomedical models of the human condition in the old physics. In the new physics it may not be such a problem.

Many people seem to believe in parts of all of these groups. They do not see the contradictions of believing in selected parts of each one of them. It is like so many things in life; we just don't want to think about it in depth. As a result we do not know really what we believe, and we have no authority for our understandings other than ourselves. We do not know it, but we are setting ourselves up as our own god, yet professing to believe in God, as a separate creator. I write this so that you can give more consideration to what is the purpose in life that God created you to fulfill. Doing God's will for you, and getting to know and love God, is your purpose.

The old science protected human freedom from a time when religion was doing many things in the name of God which are contrary to God's love and care. Now the new physics is opening the door to cooperation with religion and faith to protect the dignity of humankind. The scientific and the faith communities are once again joining together.

Some of the observations that have been made throughout the centuries have created great debates in science. One of these is motion and how it occurs or does not occur. There have been two views: one that motion is continuous and smooth and the other that motion is discontinuous. The new physics has now shown that it is both. When it is observed, it is seen as discontinuous. When it is unobserved it is smooth and continuous. It is the presence of the observer that makes the difference. When we observe a moving object, we cannot predict with 100% accuracy where it will be next. When we are utilizing the energy of touch, we can observe the effects as long as we understand that while we are observing we also have some influence, but not 100%, on what will happen next. We must always agree that the whole is more than the sum of its parts, because there is also God. God is our creator and is in us but is more than us. We need to consider how He will utilize the choices that we have made.

In the Old Story of science everything had to be accounted for. There was the conservation of matter. Momentum, the product of mass and velocity, was also conserved. Energy was conserved. Things were what they were and nothing more. Newton's laws of motion were the supreme laws of the universe. The hidden assumption in these laws was that the observer does not disturb; the observer just observed, nothing more. These laws allowed the of the modern, industrial world, and they apply to many things in the world. They however do not apply 100% to humans. We all observe the difference in how we function when there is someone observing us. This is the home team advantage. It is the results obtained by Touch for Health techniques when we are
demonstrating before a group as compared with the same technique done on an individual. These common sense observations are true.

The common assumption, "I think therefore I am," was originally made by Rene' Descartes in 1619. He believed that his awareness of his own existence was the only proof of his existence; he rejected all religious dogma. Looking back at his time, we can see why he could have rejected the dogma and the activities of the advocates of God. Observation was divided into active and passive. Passive observation occurs when the observer has no effect on that which he is observing. We see the sun rise and set, and we have no effect upon its motion. The adding of mechanical devices to the observation process changed observation into a more active process. Although this was considerably more difficult, Newton was finally able to accomplish this feat, demonstrating the apparent truth that the instruments simply detected and did not alter the form of existence.

Mathematical and mechanical models allowed the industrial age to occur. It separated mind from matter and relegated the spirit and God to a religious arena which was of no consequence to the scientific observer. Newton's three laws transferred to the population firm assumptions on how the then-known universe worked. The third law states "for every action there is an opposite and equal reaction." With this assumption transferred firmly to the healing community there was no longer any need for divine intervention, nor was this possible.

Newton and the generations of scientists following his lead firmly believed that there was a materialistic cause for everything that could be discovered if we would just look into smaller and smaller parts of the whole or see farther and farther to the edge of the universe, because the sum of the parts always equalled the whole and nothing more. Thus science could and would discover the cause of everything, since the universe was just one gigantic machine. This mechanical philosophy appeared gradually. For those that needed a beginning cause, it was thought that God started this machine in motion eons ago and that no one could stop it. Everything had been predetermined by God. This then was the materialistic nightmare of determinism. Here everything has a cause and accountable effects. In this world everything was determined eons ago. There was no room for free will, salvation, love, hate, or ethics, morality, pride and prejudice; these were all jokes. We can see this today in all levels of society from the lowest criminal element to the highest government officials.

Because the physics of the Old Story science could explain so many physical phenomena, it was adopted as the model for human knowledge. With this came the defense of deeds looked upon as harmful to society by saying that people really had no choices. They were victims of hereditary, environmental, and other outside forces. It wasn't their fault.

Hugh Elliot (1881-1930), editor of England's Annual Register, put out three principles:

1. The laws of the universe are uniform, and while the universe may appear disorderly, careful scrutiny by science reveals that these universal laws are to be obeyed.

2. Teleology is a myth, for there is no such thing as a purpose to the universe and all events are due to the interaction of matter in motion.

3. All forms of existence must have some kind of palpable material characteristics and qualities.

This then meant that God did not exist and any spiritual parts of man were misbeliefs. These ideas still permeate our society, even though the new physics, quantum physics, the theory of relativity, the uncertainty principle, and the principle of complementarity prove in the most scientific fashion that the old physics is only appropriate for things that are neither too large or too small and happen at speeds much slower than the speed of light. The speed of light is the universal constant of the material, linear world. The linear material world relies on a constant and that is the speed of light. When it was
discovered that the atom was not the smallest material particle and that the energy of the atom was greater than its parts, a whole new paradigm shift had to take place and this shift has been taking place for the past 75 years.

The Touch for Health synthesis is part of that paradigm shift. We are recognizing that there are things that happen when we touch each other that cannot be accounted for by our five senses. We are learning that many of the phenomena that are beyond our normal five senses are reflected in the muscle tests and can give us clues about how people can become more whole. We recognize that we do not understand everything that we do, and some of what we do is based entirely on empirical evidence.

Empirical evidence has long been accepted by the medical community as scientific. What we do is scientific, since we are seeking the truth. What science is all about is to find the truth about how things really are.

When we are doing the parts of the Touch for Health synthesis, we need to recognize that three things are important:

1. **Creative Involvement**, an original and spontaneous participation in life without judgement. When we are working with a person, we need to recognize that an idea or intuition may come to us through our methods that may not make "sense", but by following that intuition we can sometimes help the person in unusual ways.

2. **Intensity**, the quality of attention, the depth from which our involvement with life emanates. When we are working with a person, we need a present time consciousness (PTC). When our PTC is great and we are really with the person that we are attempting to help and when another person is also really having PTC related to that person, healing takes place more often. Having PTC is not easy, it takes real effort to be continuously present for a person with all of your being.

3. **Unconditional Love**, the principle of inclusivity and the implicit sense of prior wholeness. Knowing that the person and you are loved by God and that God already wants that person and you to be more whole and have a more perfect knowledge of His presence. The seeing of the person more well, more perfect, and more whole prior to your interceding, and also while you are doing your techniques, enhances your results.

We are developing and enlarging the areas where the Touch for Health synthesis will be participating. The shift from the Biomedical to the more energetic models of how life really works is taking place more rapidly month after month. Hospitals are putting on courses in wellness. Medical doctors by the thousands are understanding that drugs and surgery and the one cause theories of individual diseases are not the whole truth.

Our new programs are being developed by one of these medical doctors, Dr. Bruce Dewe and his wife Joan. We have on our board of trustees Dr. Warren Jacobs, who is adopting more of the TFH synthesis into his practice of medicine. Eleven out of the 34 authors of the new book, *Healers on Healing*, are regularly trained medical doctors who have left the biomedical model and are utilizing some forms of energetic healing as their life work. There is the Order of Saint Luke which is composed mainly of medical doctors who believe that prayer to God does cause healing. There is the Association of Christian Therapists, which is an international group of medical doctors, ministers, chiropractors, psychologists, and religious therapists, who study together about the value of prayer in healing. The chiropractic profession is being accepted into the orthodox medical community and is being invited to be on the staff of hospitals. The changes that are taking place in the world today are happening so fast that it is hard to keep up with them.

We may be starting a new profession with our Professional Health Provider programs, one that is based not on the treatment of symptoms, rather one that is based on the ability of two or more gathered together in the name of God to allow more wholeness to occur, and as a result, the will of God for that person to be more fully fulfilled. Their performance is thus enhanced in fulfilling that will of God for their lives.